

Appn: No. 09/677,901  
Amendment Dated November 12, 2004

MATI-195US


**Remarks/Arguments:**

Applicants request that claims 19 and 20 be added to the above identified application. These claims correspond to claims 9 and 10 which were withdrawn from consideration in response to the telephonic restriction requirement, as described in the Office Action dated November 20, 2003. These claims were later canceled by an Examiner's amendment as described in the Notice of Allowability.

These claims, however, should not have been canceled. In the Office Action dated November 20, 2003, the Examiner admitted that claim 1 was generic to the species of claims 9 and 10. As claims 9 and 10 depend from claim 1, they are fully embraced by claim 1. Accordingly, when claim 1 was allowed the Examiner, pursuant to MPEP § 809.02(c), should have advised Applicants that claims 9 and 10 were no longer withdrawn from consideration.

Thus, Applicants respectfully request that newly added claims 19 and 20 be added to the application to correct this error.

Respectfully submitted,

  
Kenneth N. Nigon, Reg. No. 31,549  
Attorney(s) for Applicant(s)

KNN/tmb

Dated: November 12, 2004

P.O. Box 980  
Valley Forge, PA 19482-0980  
(610) 407-0700

The Commissioner for Patents is hereby authorized to charge payment to Deposit Account No. 18-0350 of any fees associated with this communication.

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being filed with the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office via Facsimile Transmission to Facsimile No. 1-703-872-9308 addressed to: Mail Stop Issue Fee, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on:

November 12, 2004

  
Tonya M. Berger

Page 11 of 11